

**5. Citizens Utilities Co.**

[Docket No. ES95-25-000]

Take notice that on March 17, 1995, Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens), filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue not more than 900,000 shares of common stock series B of Citizens pursuant to the provisions of Citizens Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Fee Equity Plan. Also, Citizens requests exemption from the Commission's competitive bidding and negotiated placement regulations.

*Comment date:* April 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**6. Appalachian Power Co.**

[Docket No. FA91-64-001]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, Appalachian Power Company (APCo), tendered for filing its compliance report in the above-referenced docket. The compliance report was filed in response to the January 12, 1995, Letter Order in this docket, which order APCo to refund to its wholesale customers the time value of money related to the accounting and billing of certain railcar maintenance costs during the period January 1991 through January 12, 1992.

APCo states that a copy of the filing was served upon its wholesale customers, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia and the Tennessee Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* April 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**7. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.**

[Docket No. QF84-166-001]

On March 20, 1995, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. (Air Chemicals) tendered for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket.

The amendment pertains to information relating to the ownership structure and technical aspects of Air Products' cogeneration facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

*Comment date:* April 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**8. Scott Paper Co.**

[Docket No. QF86-557-001]

On March 16, 1995, Scott Paper Company tendered for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

The amendment provides additional information pertaining to the ownership and technical characteristics of the facility.

*Comment date:* April 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**Standard Paragraphs**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7660 Filed 3-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**[Project No. 2290-006-CA]****Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment**

March 23, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for new license for an existing licensed hydropower project on the Kern River owned and operated by the Southern California Edison Company: the Kern River No. 3 Project No. 2290, located in Kern and Tulare Counties, California. Subsequently, the Commission's staff prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) that discusses the relicensing of the project.

In the DEA, staff evaluates the potential environmental impacts that would result from the continued operation of the project. Staff concludes that relicensing the project with appropriate enhancement measures would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please affix Project No. 2290 to the first page of all comments.

For further information, please contact Kathleen Sherman, Environmental Coordinator, at (202) 219-2834.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7659 Filed 3-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP94-151-001, et al.]****Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

March 21, 1995

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

**1. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.**

[Docket No. CP94-151-001]

Take notice that on March 16, 1995, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP94-151-001 a petition to amend the application filed in Docket No. CP94-151-000 to abandon by transfer to its affiliate, Panhandle Field Services Company (Field Services) the majority of the facilities for which refunctionalization from gathering to transmission was denied by the Commission's order issued February 14, 1995, pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle states that in the February 14, 1995, order the Commission approved the refunctionalization from gathering to transmission of 50 of 168 facilities. Panhandle also notes that among the 50 refunctionalized facilities were six meters located on facilities the Commission determined to be gathering. Panhandle also states that it is requesting rehearing of the Commission's determination that six of the remaining facilities are gathering. Panhandle is then requesting authorization to abandon by transfer to Field Services a total of 116 facilities, including the six above-mentioned

meters, but not including the six facilities for which it is requesting rehearing.

Panhandle states that approval of the amended application for abandonment will facilitate the termination of its gathering function, and would eliminate the need for Panhandle to retain a gathering rate.

*Comment date:* April 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 2. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.

[Docket No. CP95-257-000]

Take notice that on March 10, 1995, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP95-257-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct, own and operate a delivery tap and associated facilities in Audrain County, Missouri for deliveries to Cerro Copper Casting (Cerro Copper), a Division of Cerro Copper Tube Co, under Panhandle's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-83-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle proposes to construct a 2-inch hot tap, approximately 7500 feet of 3-inch pipeline and a dual 2-inch meter and regulating station on its Mexico Lateral at Station 58+00, Section 27, Township 51 North, Range 9 West in Audrain County, Missouri. This interconnect/delivery tap was requested of Panhandle by Cerro Copper for meeting the 500 Mcf of natural gas per day demand by its new copper billet casting plant still under construction. Panhandle states it understands that Cerro Copper does not have a contract with Union Electric Company, a local distribution company, to provide service to the new plant, and that the proposed delivery point does not constitute a bypass of Union Electric. The estimated cost is \$630,000 which is 100% reimbursible to Panhandle. The proposed construction, according to Panhandle, will not detriment or disadvantage any of its customers.

*Comment date:* May 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.

[Docket No. CP95-263-000]

Take notice that on March 14, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), filed in Docket No. CP95-

263-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a transportation service for AlliedSignal Inc. (AlliedSignal), formerly Allied Corporation, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that the service is provided pursuant to Rate Schedule X-116, with transportation of up to 15,000 Dth per day of natural gas, which was authorized by Order issued August 19, 1983 in Docket No. CP83-324 as amended.

Columbia states further that the transportation authority is no longer required, the transportation agreement has terminated and alternative service is being provided AlliedSignal under Part 284 Firm Transportation Service under the FTS Rate Schedule filed in Docket No. ST91-1495.

*Comment date:* April 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 4. MidAmerican Energy Company and Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Co.

[Docket No. CP95-264-000]

Take notice that on March 16, 1995, MidAmerican Energy Company (MidAmerican), P.O. Box 657, Des Moines, Iowa 50303, and Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 4350, Davenport, Iowa 52808 (Iowa-Illinois)—together referred to as Applicants—filed in Docket No. CP95-264-000 an application pursuant to Sections 1(c), 7(b), 7(c), and 7(f) of the Natural Gas Act requesting permission and approval for Iowa-Illinois to abandon certain facilities, a declaration that MidAmerican is exempt from the NGA for certain parts of its service area, a service area determination for MidAmerican, and a declaration that MidAmerican qualifies pursuant to the Natural Gas Policy Act as a local distribution company in those areas where it receives a service area determination, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants state that MidAmerican, Iowa-Illinois, Midwest Power Systems Inc. (Midwest Power) and Midwest Resources Inc. (Midwest Resources) have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger whereby MidAmerican will be the surviving corporation and public utility. Applicants state that Iowa-Illinois and Midwest Power have filed an application with the Commission for authorization and approval of the merger pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act

(FPA) in Docket No. EC95-004-000. In addition, it is stated that MidAmerican has filed open access electric transmission tariffs in Docket No. ER95-188-000 as well as an application pursuant to Section 204 of the FPA requesting authority to issue securities and assume liabilities.

Applicants indicate that upon consummation of the merger, Iowa-Illinois will cease to provide any public utility services, and all of the public utility services previously provided by Iowa-Illinois will be provided by MidAmerican, including services which require utilization of the facilities which Iowa-Illinois seeks to abandon.

MidAmerican's operation of these facilities will occur pursuant to the service area determination which MidAmerican requests pursuant to Section 7(f) of the NGA. The service areas proposed by MidAmerican for determination are identical to the service areas determined for Iowa-Illinois and Midwest Power's predecessor in Docket Nos. CP86-688-000, CP89-655-000, and CP89-2002-000.

MidAmerican also seeks exemption from the NGA pursuant to Section 1(c) for four parts of its service area. Iowa-Illinois was granted an exemption pursuant to Section 1(c) of the NGA for these four parts of its service area in Docket No. CP86-605-000. Applicants also request that the Commission declare that MidAmerican is a local distribution company. Applicants state that Iowa-Illinois and Midwest Power's predecessors were declared by the Commission to be local distribution companies in Docket Nos. CP86-688-000, CP89-2002-000, and CP89-2002-001.

Applicants request that the Commission issue an order authorizing its requests prior to or concurrently with its order authorizing and approving the proposed merger in Docket No. EC95-004-000 and that such authorizations be made effective at the time of the merger.

*Comment date:* April 11, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## Standard Paragraphs:

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests

filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7661 Filed 3-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. MT95-9-000]

**Columbia Gulf Transmission Co.;  
Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC  
Gas Tariff**

March 23, 1995.

Take notice that on March 20, 1995, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to be effective May 1, 1995:

First Revised Sheet No. 374  
First Revised Sheet No. 375  
First Revised Sheet No. 376  
First Revised Sheet No. 384  
First Revised Sheet No. 385  
First Revised Sheet No. 386

Columbia Gulf is tendering for filing revised portions of its "Request for Service—New Agreement" and "Request for Service—Increase in Quantity of an Existing Agreement" forms contained in its FERC Gas Tariff. Columbia Gulf states that the filing is being made in accordance with Order No. 566, which eliminated the requirement in 18 CFR 250.16(b)(1)(ii) that a pipeline include the information required for a Form No. 592 Affiliate Transportation Log in the request for service forms in the pipeline's tariff. Under previous regulations, a pipeline was required to report certain information on Form No. 592 that a pipeline could only obtain through its request for service forms. In Order No. 566, "the Commission is no longer requiring pipelines to report these categories of information, and therefore, a tariff provision requiring shippers to disclose such information is no longer needed."

Columbia Gulf states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before March 30, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on

file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-7663 Filed 3-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MT95-8-000]

**Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.;  
Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC  
Gas Tariff**

March 23, 1995.

Take notice that on March 20, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to be effective May 1, 1995:

First Revised Sheet No. 552  
First Revised Sheet No. 553  
First Revised Sheet No. 554  
First Revised Sheet No. 571  
First Revised Sheet No. 572  
First Revised Sheet No. 573

Columbia is tendering for filing revised portions of its "Request for Service—New Agreement" and "Request for Service—Increase in Quantity of an Existing Agreement" forms contained in its FERC Gas Tariff. Columbia states that the filing is being made in accordance with Order No. 566, which eliminated the requirement in 18 CFR 250.16(b)(1)(ii) that a pipeline include the information required for a Form No. 592 Affiliate Transportation Log in the request for service forms in the pipeline's tariff. Under previous regulations, a pipeline was required to report certain information on Form No. 592 that a pipeline could only obtain through its request for service forms. In Order No. 566, "the Commission is no longer requiring pipelines to report these categories of information, and therefore, a tariff provision requiring shippers to disclose such information is no longer needed." Columbia also states that, for administrative convenience in processing requests for service making use of Columbia's SIT Rate Schedule, it is adding new item ten to the "Request for Service—New Agreement" form (First Revised Sheet No. 553). Columbia states that this is consistent with Section 1 of Columbia's SIT Rate Schedule.

Columbia states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,